

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/090,440	03/04/2002	Grant McFadden	MDSP-P01-002	3416
	590 11/01/2004		EXAMINER	
ROPES & GR	AY LLP ATIONAL PLACE		YU, MI	SOOK
BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/090,440	MCFADDEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	4 March 2002.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	,	
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lrawn from consideration.	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s Examiner. Note the attached	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/090,440

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-25, drawn to in vitro method of screening compounds using complexes of BAK and/or M11L, classified in class 435, subclass 4, 6, 7.1, and 15.
- II. Claims 26-29, drawn to in vivo drug testing using an animal, classified in unclassifiable due to the unspecified structure of the drug being tested.
- III. Claims 30-37, drawn to method of identifying M11L-interacting protein, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Each invention carries out the different active steps using structurally and functionally divergent materials for accomplish the different purposes stated in the preamble of the claims. Group I invention requires complex of proteins, group II requires a live animal, and group III requires MIIL protein. Each method is divergent in materials and steps. The inventions of groups I, II, and III have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. As such, it would be burdensome to search groups I, II, or III.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search

Application/Control Number: 10/090,440

Art Unit: 1642

required for each group is not required for the other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

The species are:

- (1) binding to the complexes,
- (2) increasing or decreasing the steady state level of the complex,
- (3) affecting an enzymatic activity of the complex,
- (4) affecting a subcellular localization of the complex,
- (5) a yeast two-hybrid assay, reverse yeast two-hybrid assay,
- (6) ITS, or reverse ITS

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,440

Art Unit: 1642

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 1642

272-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey C Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MISOOK YU, Ph.D.

Examiner
Art Unit 1642